Project Status Form

General Information												
Project Name Date												
Adju	Adjudication Re-engineering - Project 1a - Release of Liability E-Filing 2/3/2012											
Sponsoring Agency												
Nebraska Workers' Compensation												
Con	Contact Phone Email Employer											
Randy Cecrle 40				402-471-2976		Randy.cecrle@nebraska.g			braska.gov	WCC		
Project Manager Pr				Phone		Email			Employer			
Ran	dy Cecrle			402-471-2976	6 I	Randy.	cecrle@	ne	braska.gov	wc	C	
Proj	Project Start Date 09/01/2011 Project End Date Open Revised End Date n/a											
Key	Questions				•				Explanation	(if Yes	s)	
1. H	1. Has the project scope of work changed? ☐ Yes ☐ No											
2. W	2. Will upcoming target dates be missed?											
3. Does the project team have resource constraints? ☐ Yes ☐ No												
4. Are there problems or concerns that require stakeholder or ☐ Yes ☐ No top management attention?												
Summary Project Status Any item classified as red or yellow requires an explanation in the Status box that follows this section. Additional priority items can be added to the list for status reporting.												
Select one color in each of the Reporting Period columns to indicate your best assessment of: Last Reporting Period [MM/DD/YYYY] This Reporting Period [02/03/2012]												
1. C	1. Overall Project Status ☐ Red ☐ Yellow ☐ Green ☐ Red ☐ Yellow ☐ Green											
2. S	chedule			Red		rellow	☐ Gree	en	☐ Red		Yellow	☐ Green
3. B	udget (capital,	overall project hour	s)	Red		rellow	☐ Gree	en	Red		Yellow	☐ Green
4. S	4. Scope					☐ Green						
5. C	5. Quality											
Cold	or Legend											
Project has significant risk to baseline cost, schedule, or deliverables. Requires immediate escalation and management involvement.								involvement.				
	Project has a current or potential risk to baseline cost, schedule, or deliverables. PM will manage based on risk mitigation planning.								on planning.			
	Project has no significant risk to baseline cost, schedule, or project deliverables.											

Monthly Status Summary

Provide a summary of the project status since the last reporting period. (This summary will become part of the monthly NITC Dashboard.)

This is the initial report of the project.

Adjudication Re-engineering is a multi-phase project that will span a number of years to incorporate e-filing, electronic docket files, public web access to docket status, e-documents creation and judges e-signing of decisions and orders, and other performance improvement changes.

Project 1a - Release of Liability E-Filing is focusing on the development of one pleading type to complete the full end-to-end set of e-filing functions and limited changes to Clerks Review to process the submitted e-documents in the same manner as performed today with paper.

Project 1b - Semi-automated Docket / RFJA Setup, Electronic Docket File, and possibly Centralized Scanning will follow up immediately after 1a is completed. A rough time frame for completion is first half of calendar year 2013.

Because of the tight integration of judicial data and functions with non-judicial data and functions, (such as Vocational Rehabilitation), WCC systems, including e-filing are separate from the rest of the courts in the state.

Because of the court's limited jurisdiction, our e-filing system is being designed to provide web-based drafting of pleading documents that utilizes internal WCC electronic docket information. PDFs are generated for printing and "wet signatures" and the submittal with the "/s/" signature format as is the current rule and practice by the other courts in the state.

Tentatively, Project 2 will focus adding the remainder of the pleading types to e-filing with a rough target completion date end-of-calendar year 2013.

Other adjudication functions to be addressed following Project 2 include:

- Scheduling and Calendar management,
- Public access to case status and case documents,
- Judge's Decisions and Orders management,
- Automated notification to other sections of the court of court case changes,
- Electronic transmission of documents to the Court of Appeals,
- Electronic Exhibit management.

There has not been any identification of additional out-of-pocket costs other than the knowledge that electronic storage costs will grow as more e-documents are added to the Electronic Docket Files.

Significant Milestones (Met, Not Met, Scheduled) Insert additional lines as necessary.							
Milestone	Met	Not Met	Sche- duled	Original Date	Actual Date	Impact (if late)	
Beta testing with limited external attorney offices				May 2012		No Impact	
Initial production roll-out				May-June 2012		No Impact	

Description	Impact on Project - (H,M,L)	Date Resolution is Needed	Issue Resolution Assigned to	Date Resolved
Waiting on the judges need to make decisions on standardization of language on the Release of Liability pleading.	Н	February 28, 2012	Barb Frank, Clerk of the Court	
Implementation by OCIO of Analytics Reporting Service (Oracle BI Publisher) in a production environment for the generation of PDFs.	Н	April 2012	Kevin Keller - OCIO	

Impact: H=High - major impact on time, scope, cost. Issue must be resolved. M= Medium- moderate impact to time, scope, cost. L=Low- Issue will not impact project delivery

Project Risks Insert additional lines as necessary.						
Major Risk Events	High Medium Low	Risk Mitigation	Mitigation Responsible Party			
Adoption by attorney offices of the court e-filing drafting system instead of their systems to produce the formatted pleadings for e-filing in place of uploading e-documents prepared on their systems.	Low	This approach was communicated in previous discussions with attorney offices during the last couple of years while we were working on the Application for Lump Sum Settlement e-filing drafting system. Select attorney offices were involved in testing until that project was put on hold. Select attorney offices will be involved in beta testing. Additional information will be released to external stakeholders and other communications will occur over the next couple of months.	Presiding Judge and Clerk of the Court			

Decision Points Insert additional lines as necessary. Use this section to document any major decisions that impact target dates, scope, cost, or budget.								
Decision Point	Decision Due Date	Decision made by (name or names)	Decision's Impact on Project					
Change requests from attorney offices during testing.	May 2012	Presiding Judge and Clerk of the Court	Delay the rollout of the system into production.					

Comparison of Budgeted to Actual Expenditures

Use a chart like the following to show actual expenditures compared to planned levels. Break the costs into other categories as appropriate.

Fiscal Year [2012] – This is an internal development project utilizing WCC information technology staff and any application services provided by the OCIO. Limited cash expenditures have been made for PDF stamping software.

Budget Item	Actual Costs to Date	Estimate to Complete	Total Estimated Costs	Total Planned Budget
Salaries	Internal staff, not tracked			
Contract Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hardware	\$0		\$0	\$0
Software	\$6,759.14	\$0	\$6,759.14	\$6,759.14
Training	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Expenditures*	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$6,759.14		\$6,759.14	\$6,759.14

Other Expenditures include supplies, materials, etc.

Additional Comments / Concerns Use this section to insert comments / concerns not included in any other section.